

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the specification to correct errors pointed out by the Examiner. Applicant has described (page 4, line 27) the grounded cable shield which is described in original claim 5 and which is known in the prior art.

Applicant has amended the title as required by the Examiner. If the Examiner finds the new title insufficient then applicant would appreciate receiving a suggestion from the Examiner for a new title.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 2 and 9. Claims 1-9 remain in the application, of which all were rejected on the grounds of indefiniteness. Applicant believes that he has now avoided the indefinitenesses pointed out by the Examiner in independent claims 1 and 2. The Examiner said that claim 9 lacked the essential element of a gap between elements, and applicant has added "spaced-apart". The four subshields 96 (Fig. 3) are shown in the drawings and they increase reliability, but applicant does not believe they are necessary in claim 9.

Enclosed is a copy of Fig. 4 with a requested change shown in red ink. Also enclosed are two sheets of formal drawings, with the changes included.

In view of the above, favorable reconsideration of the application is courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Leon D. Rosen
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 21,077

10960 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 1220
Los Angeles, CA 90024
(310) 477-0578

2/2





FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6